



Early Coalition Compared to Current Coalition: Summary Survey Results 5.27.09

10. Satisfaction with clarity of coalition vision [Oct 07 - Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	15%
2. Dissatisfied	8	40%
3. Satisfied	8	40%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	0	0%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.35	Standard Deviation: 0.81	

11. Satisfaction with clarity of current coalition vision [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	4%
2. Dissatisfied	1	4%
3. Satisfied	15	60%
4. Very Satisfied	6	24%
5. Not Applicable	2	8%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.28	Standard Deviation: 0.84	

12. Satisfaction with planning process used [Oct 07 - Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	4	20%
2. Dissatisfied	7	35%
3. Satisfied	8	40%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	0	0%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.30	Standard Deviation: 0.86	

13. Satisfaction with planning process currently used [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	4%
2. Dissatisfied	1	4%
3. Satisfied	12	48%
4. Very Satisfied	7	28%
5. Not Applicable	4	16%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.48	Standard Deviation: 0.96	

14. Satisfaction with follow-through on coalition activities [Oct 07 - Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	10%
2. Dissatisfied	6	30%
3. Satisfied	10	50%
4. Very Satisfied	0	0%
5. Not Applicable	2	10%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.70	Standard Deviation: 1.03	

15. Satisfaction with current follow-through on coalition activities [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2. Dissatisfied	2	8%
3. Satisfied	16	67%
4. Very Satisfied	2	8%
5. Not Applicable	4	17%
Total Responses:	24	
Mean: 3.33	Standard Deviation: 0.87	

16. Satisfaction with commitment of coalition officers [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	6%
2. Dissatisfied	3	17%
3. Satisfied	10	56%
4. Very Satisfied	0	0%
5. Not Applicable	4	22%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	18	
Mean: 3.17	Standard Deviation: 1.15	

17. Satisfaction with commitment of current coalition officers [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2. Dissatisfied	3	12%
3. Satisfied	14	58%
4. Very Satisfied	5	21%
5. Not Applicable	2	8%
Total Responses:	24	
Mean: 3.25	Standard Deviation: 0.79	

18. Satisfaction with efforts to promote collaborative action [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	5%
2. Dissatisfied	7	35%
3. Satisfied	10	50%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	1	5%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean:	2.70	Standard Deviation: 0.86

19. Satisfaction with current efforts to promote collaborative action [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	4%
2. Dissatisfied	4	16%
3. Satisfied	12	48%
4. Very Satisfied	7	28%
5. Not Applicable	1	4%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean:	3.12	Standard Deviation: 0.88

20. Satisfaction with sensitivity to diverse membership [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	5%
2. Dissatisfied	1	5%
3. Satisfied	12	60%
4. Very Satisfied	4	20%
5. Not Applicable	2	10%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean:	3.25	Standard Deviation: 0.91

21. Satisfaction with current sensitivity to diverse membership [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	4%
2. Dissatisfied	1	4%
3. Satisfied	16	64%
4. Very Satisfied	6	24%
5. Not Applicable	1	4%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean:	3.20	Standard Deviation: 0.76

22. Satisfaction with opportunities for members to take leadership roles [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2. Dissatisfied	5	25%
3. Satisfied	8	40%
4. Very Satisfied	6	30%
5. Not Applicable	1	5%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 3.15	Standard Deviation: 0.88	

23. Satisfaction with current opportunities for members to take leadership roles [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2. Dissatisfied	1	4%
3. Satisfied	13	52%
4. Very Satisfied	9	36%
5. Not Applicable	2	8%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.48	Standard Deviation: 0.71	

24. Satisfaction with balance of decision-making power between leaders and members [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	15%
2. Dissatisfied	2	10%
3. Satisfied	12	60%
4. Very Satisfied	2	10%
5. Not Applicable	1	5%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.80	Standard Deviation: 1.01	

25. Satisfaction with current balance of decision-making power between leaders and members [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	8%
2. Dissatisfied	1	4%
3. Satisfied	16	64%
4. Very Satisfied	3	12%
5. Not Applicable	3	12%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.16	Standard Deviation: 0.99	

26. Satisfaction with participation from influential people from key sectors and organizations [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	5%
2. Dissatisfied	6	30%
3. Satisfied	9	45%
4. Very Satisfied	3	15%
5. Not Applicable	1	5%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.85	Standard Deviation: 0.93	

27. Satisfaction with current participation from influential people from key sectors and organizations [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	4%
2. Dissatisfied	6	24%
3. Satisfied	11	44%
4. Very Satisfied	4	16%
5. Not Applicable	3	12%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.08	Standard Deviation: 1.04	

28. Satisfaction with diversity of coalition membership [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2. Dissatisfied	4	20%
3. Satisfied	11	55%
4. Very Satisfied	5	25%
5. Not Applicable	0	0%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 3.05	Standard Deviation: 0.69	

29. Satisfaction with current diversity of coalition membership [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	0	0%
2. Dissatisfied	4	16%
3. Satisfied	11	44%
4. Very Satisfied	7	28%
5. Not Applicable	3	12%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.36	Standard Deviation: 0.91	

30. Satisfaction with meeting local communities' needs [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	11%
2. Dissatisfied	5	26%
3. Satisfied	7	37%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	4	21%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	19	
Mean: 3.00	Standard Deviation: 1.29	

31. Satisfaction with currently meeting local communities' needs [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	1	4%
2. Dissatisfied	5	21%
3. Satisfied	12	50%
4. Very Satisfied	2	8%
5. Not Applicable	4	17%
Total Responses:	24	
Mean: 3.12	Standard Deviation: 1.08	

32. Satisfaction with assisting communities in building capacity to address underage drinking [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	15%
2. Dissatisfied	7	35%
3. Satisfied	6	30%
4. Very Satisfied	2	10%
5. Not Applicable	2	10%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.65	Standard Deviation: 1.18	

33. Satisfaction with currently assisting communities in building capacity to address underage drinking [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	8%
2. Dissatisfied	7	29%
3. Satisfied	11	46%
4. Very Satisfied	2	8%
5. Not Applicable	2	8%
Total Responses:	24	
Mean: 2.79	Standard Deviation: 1.02	

34. Satisfaction with location of meetings [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	10%
2. Dissatisfied	2	10%
3. Satisfied	15	75%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	0	0%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.75	Standard Deviation: 0.72	

35. Satisfaction with current location of meetings [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	12%
2. Dissatisfied	1	4%
3. Satisfied	17	68%
4. Very Satisfied	3	12%
5. Not Applicable	1	4%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 2.92	Standard Deviation: 0.91	

36. Satisfaction with use of media to promote coalition agenda [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	16%
2. Dissatisfied	6	32%
3. Satisfied	3	16%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	6	32%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	19	
Mean: 3.05	Standard Deviation: 1.54	

37. Satisfaction with current use of media to promote coalition agenda [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	12%
2. Dissatisfied	6	25%
3. Satisfied	4	17%
4. Very Satisfied	2	8%
5. Not Applicable	9	38%
Total Responses:	24	
Mean: 3.33	Standard Deviation: 1.52	

38. Satisfaction with communication between coalition members and leaders [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	4	20%
2. Dissatisfied	4	20%
3. Satisfied	9	45%
4. Very Satisfied	3	15%
5. Not Applicable	0	0%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.55	Standard Deviation: 1.00	

39. Satisfaction with current communication between coalition members and leaders [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	12%
2. Dissatisfied	4	16%
3. Satisfied	13	52%
4. Very Satisfied	4	16%
5. Not Applicable	1	4%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 2.84	Standard Deviation: 0.99	

40. Satisfaction with extent to which coalition members are listened to and heard [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	11%
2. Dissatisfied	4	21%
3. Satisfied	9	47%
4. Very Satisfied	4	21%
5. Not Applicable	0	0%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	19	
Mean: 2.79	Standard Deviation: 0.92	

41. Satisfaction with extent to which coalition members are currently listened to and heard [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	8%
2. Dissatisfied	1	4%
3. Satisfied	12	48%
4. Very Satisfied	7	28%
5. Not Applicable	3	12%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.32	Standard Deviation: 1.03	

42. Satisfaction with clarity of coalition roles [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	4	20%
2. Dissatisfied	9	45%
3. Satisfied	5	25%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	1	5%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.30	Standard Deviation: 1.03	

43. Satisfaction with clarity of current coalition roles [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	8%
2. Dissatisfied	5	20%
3. Satisfied	13	52%
4. Very Satisfied	4	16%
5. Not Applicable	1	4%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 2.88	Standard Deviation: 0.93	

44. Satisfaction with timeliness of handling routine matters [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	15%
2. Dissatisfied	3	15%
3. Satisfied	13	65%
4. Very Satisfied	0	0%
5. Not Applicable	1	5%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.65	Standard Deviation: 0.93	

45. Satisfaction with timeliness of handling routine matters currently [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	12%
2. Dissatisfied	2	8%
3. Satisfied	13	52%
4. Very Satisfied	3	12%
5. Not Applicable	4	16%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.12	Standard Deviation: 1.17	

46. Satisfaction with progress in meeting coalition objectives [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	10%
2. Dissatisfied	7	35%
3. Satisfied	7	35%
4. Very Satisfied	1	5%
5. Not Applicable	3	15%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.80	Standard Deviation: 1.20	

47. Satisfaction with current progress in meeting coalition objectives [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	8%
2. Dissatisfied	4	16%
3. Satisfied	13	52%
4. Very Satisfied	3	12%
5. Not Applicable	3	12%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.04	Standard Deviation: 1.06	

48. Satisfaction with success in generating resources for coalition [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	4	20%
2. Dissatisfied	7	35%
3. Satisfied	5	25%
4. Very Satisfied	0	0%
5. Not Applicable	4	20%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.65	Standard Deviation: 1.39	

49. Satisfaction with current success in generating resources for coalition [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	12%
2. Dissatisfied	5	20%
3. Satisfied	11	44%
4. Very Satisfied	1	4%
5. Not Applicable	5	20%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.00	Standard Deviation: 1.26	

50. Satisfaction with Coalition's efforts to sustain itself over time [Oct 07-Sept 08]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	3	15%
2. Dissatisfied	7	35%
3. Satisfied	6	30%
4. Very Satisfied	0	0%
5. Not Applicable	4	20%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.75	Standard Deviation: 1.33	

51. Satisfaction with Coalition's current efforts to sustain itself over time [within past 6 months]:




1. Very Dissatisfied	2	8%
2. Dissatisfied	7	28%
3. Satisfied	8	32%
4. Very Satisfied	3	12%
5. Not Applicable	5	20%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 3.08	Standard Deviation: 1.26	

52. Satisfaction with capacity of coalition to effectively advocate underage drinking issues [Oct 07-Sept 08]:



1. Very Dissatisfied	3	15%
2. Dissatisfied	7	35%
3. Satisfied	5	25%
4. Very Satisfied	3	15%
5. Not Applicable	2	10%
6. Not a Member	0	0%
Total Responses:	20	
Mean: 2.70	Standard Deviation: 1.22	

53. Satisfaction with current capacity of coalition to effectively advocate underage drinking issues [within past 6 months]:



1. Very Dissatisfied	2	8%
2. Dissatisfied	7	28%
3. Satisfied	9	36%
4. Very Satisfied	5	20%
5. Not Applicable	2	8%
Total Responses:	25	
Mean: 2.92	Standard Deviation: 1.08	